

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/586,906	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BESSHO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> ANATOLY VORTMAN	<b>Art Unit</b> 2835	

**All Participants:**

(1) ANATOLY VORTMAN, AU 2835.

(2) VISWANADHAM, SRIKANT, Reg. No. 60, 111.

**Date of Interview:** 28 October 2008

**Status of Application:** allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 5:40 pm, EST

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*3,4*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner has indicated that there is an antecedent problem for the limitations "the electric-connection portion" in claim 4, so the claim has been amended to incorporate the subject matter of claim 3, thus obviating the aforementioned problem.*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Anatoly Vortman/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2835

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)